

P.R. No. 3523/06
Presented by Shri Kumar Das
dated 12/7/06

(2) (5)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3465/2006

SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER:-

Shankar Lal Katoliya S/o- Shri Jethuram
Katholiya Aged about 48 years, R/o-
Village- Bharkura, Post:- Kalluban
Block:- Chhuriya, Distt- Rajnandgaon
(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- (1) State of Chhattisgarh Through:-
Secretary Education Department D.K.S.
Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
- (2) District Education Officer, Education
Office Rajandgaon Collectorate, District
Rajnandgoan (C.G.)
- (3) Block Education Officer, Block Chhuriya,
Block Education Office, Chhuriya District
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
- (4) Indra Prasad Chandravanshi, Assistant
Teacher Primary School - Sonpuri,
District Karwadha, District Kabirdham
(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
12/7/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



**PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA**

मामला क्रमांक ...P.L... 3445/66 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14.7.2006 Heard Shri Anup Mazumdar, Adv. for the petitioner. Miss Deepali Pandey, P.L. for the State on advance copy. By the instant petition the petitioner has challenged the transfer orders dated 31.10.2005 & 22.6.2006, whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Government Primary School, Bharkura, Block Chhuriya, Distt. Rajnandgaon to Primary School, Sonpuri, Block Kawardha, Distt. Kabirdham. The grievance of the petitioner is that his transfer order has been shown to be passed on the request of the petitioner, whereas, no such request was made by the petitioner. Second grievance of the petitioner is that the post of the petitioner is district cadre post, whereas, he has been transferred to another district. Counsel for the petitioner submits that even order dated 31.10.2005 was never communicated to the petitioner, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner appears to be just; fair & reasonable,	

P.T.O.

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with the law and pass appropriate orders within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation. If the petitioner is not already relieved, then he should not be relieved till the representation is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2646 /06 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge

P.T.O.

18/4/06
19/2/06